arXiv:1603.08153v1 [math.CO] 26 Mar 2016

# Rainbow Arithmetic Progressions in Finite Abelian Groups.

Michael Young*

March 29, 2016

**Abstract**

For positive integers $n$ and $k$, the *anti-van der Waerden number* of $\mathbb{Z}_n$, denoted by $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, k)$, is the minimum number of colors needed to color the elements of the cyclic group of order $n$ and guarantee there is a rainbow arithmetic progression of length $k$. Butler et al. showed a reduction formula for $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = 3$ in terms of the prime divisors of $n$. In this paper, we analagously define the anti-van der Waerden number of a finite abelian group $G$ and show $\text{aw}(G, 3)$ is determined by the order of $G$ and the number of groups with even order in a direct sum isomorphic to $G$. The *unitary anti-van der Waerden number* of a group is also defined and determined.

**Keywords.** arithmetic progression; rainbow coloring; anti-Ramsey; groups; abelian groups.

## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite additive abelian group. A *$k$-term arithmetic progression* ($k$-AP) of $G$ is a sequence of the form

$$a, a+d, a+2d, \ldots, a+(k-1)d,$$

where $a, d \in G$. For the purposes of this paper, an arithmetic progression is referred to as a set of the form $\{a, a+d, a+2d, \ldots, a+(k-1)d\}$. A $k$-AP is *non-degenerate* if the arithmetic progression contains $k$ distinct elements; otherwise, the arithmetic progression is *degenerate*.

An *$r$-coloring* of $G$ is a function $c : G \to [r]$, where $[r] := \{1, \ldots, r\}$. An $r$-coloring is *exact* if $c$ is surjective. Given $c : G \to [r]$, an arithmetic progression is called *rainbow* (under $c$) if $c(a+id) \neq c(a+jd)$ for all $0 \leq i < j \leq k-1$. Given $P \subseteq G$, $c(P)$ denotes the set of colors assigned to the elements of $P$, i.e. $c(P) = \{c(i) : i \in P\}$.

The *anti-van der Waerden number* $\text{aw}(G, k)$ is the smallest $r$ such that every exact $r$-coloring of $G$ contains a rainbow $k$-term arithmetic progression. If $G$ has contains no $k$-AP, then $\text{aw}(G, k) = |G| + 1$ to be consistent with the property that there is a coloring with $\text{aw}(G, k) - 1$ colors that has no rainbow $k$-AP.

*Iowa State University, myoung@iastate.edu

Throughout the paper, $\mathbb{Z}_n$ will denoted the cyclic group of order $n$ consisting of the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ under the operation of addition modulo $n$. Define $[\mathbb{Z}_n]^s := \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_n}_{s \text{ times}}$.

Jungić, Licht, Mahdian, Nešetril, and Radoičić established several results on the existence of rainbow 3-APs in [4]. Jungić et. al. proved that every 3-coloring of $\mathbb{N}$, where each color class has density at least $1/6$, contains a rainbow 3-AP. They also prove results about rainbow 3-APs in $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Other results on colorings of the integers with no rainbow 3-APs have been obtained in [1] and [2].

Anti-van der Waerden numbers were first defined by Uherka in a preliminary study (see [5]). Butler et. al., in [3], proved upper and lower bounds for anti-van der Waerden numbers of $[n]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for $k$-APs, for $3 \leq k$.

Many of the extremal colorings that are constructed to prove lower bounds of $\mathrm{aw}(G, 3)$ require colorings that use some color exactly once, which leads to the need of the following definitions.

An $r$-coloring of $G$ is *unitary* if there is an element of $G$ that is uniquely colored, which will be referred to as a *unitary color*. (A unitary coloring is referred to as a singleton coloring in [3].) The smallest $r$ such that every exact $r$-coloring of $G$ that is unitary contains a rainbow $k$-term arithmetic progression is denoted by $\mathrm{aw}_u(G, k)$. Similar to the anti-van der Waerden number, $\mathrm{aw}_u(G, k) = |G| + 1$ if $G$ has no $k$-AP.

Butler et. al. use Proposition 1 to determine the exact value of $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$.

**Proposition 1.** *[3, Proposition 3.5], For every prime number $p$,*

$$3 \leq \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) = \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) \leq 4.$$

Let $n = 2^{e_0} p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_s^{e_s}$ such that $p_j$ is prime and $0 \leq e_j$ for $0 \leq j \leq s$, $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j}, 3) = 3$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, and $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_j}, 3) = 4$ for $\ell + 1 \leq j \leq s$. Then corollary 3.15 in [3] can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.** *[3, Corollary 3.15] For any integer $n \geq 2$,*

$$\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = \begin{cases} 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e_j + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{s} 2e_j & \text{if } e_0 = 0 \\ 3 + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} e_j + \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{s} 2e_j & \text{if } 1 \leq e_0 \end{cases}$$

In this paper, Theorem 1 is extended to all finite abelian groups Theorem 2 is generalized to finite abelian groups with order that is a power of 2.

**Theorem 2.** *[4, Theorem 3.5] For all positive integers $m$,*

$$aw(\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}, 3) = 3.$$

In section 2, a closed formula for $\mathrm{aw}(G, 3)$ is given. This closed formula is determined by the order of $G$ and the number of groups with even order in a direct sum isomorphic to $G$. In section 3, a similar closed formula for $\mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3)$ is given.

## 2 Anti-van der Waerden Numbers

In this section, a reduction formula for the anti-van der Waerden number of groups that have odd order is created. Determining the anti-van der Waerden number of an abelian group with odd order is equivalent to determining the anti-van der Waerden number of $\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ for some positive odd integers $m$ and $n$. First we provide a proof of a useful remark from [3].

**Proposition 2.** *[4, Remark 3.16] For all positive integers $n$,*

$$\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3).$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that $\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) \leq \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$. The inequality $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) \leq \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$ will be shown by induction on the number of odd prime divisors of $n$. It is obviously true if $n$ is a power of 2. Assume $n$ is not a power of 2.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_n = G \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, where $G$ be a finite cyclic group and $p$ be an odd prime. Let $c_G$ be a unitary coloring of $G$ with exactly $\mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3) - 1$ colors and no rainbow 3-AP, and $c_p$ be a unitary coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with exactly $\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 1$ different colors. Without loss of generality, let 0 be uniquely colored by $c_G$ and $c_p$. For each $(g, h) \in G \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, define $c$ as follows:

$$c(g,h) = \begin{cases} c_G(g) & \text{if } h = 0, \\ c_p(h) & \text{if } h \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $\{(a_1, a_2), (a_1 + d_1, a_2 + d_2), (a_1 + 2d_1, a_2 + 2d_2)\}$ be a 3-AP of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $p$ is odd, $\{a_2, a_2 + d_2, a_2 + 2d_2\}$ is a non-degenerate 3-AP in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Therefore, 0, 1, or 3 elements of $\{(a_1, a_2), (a_1 + d_1, a_2 + d_2), (a_1 + 2d_1, a_2 + 2d_2)\}$ will be assigned a color by $c_G$.

If $a_2 = 0$ and $d_2 = 0$, then the 3-AP is colored by $c_G$ and is not rainbow. If $a_2 \neq 0$ and $d_2 = 0$, then all the elements of the 3-AP are colored with the same color. If $d_2 \neq 0$, then the 3-AP is colored by $c_p$ (since $c_p(0)$ is a unitary color) and is not rainbow. Therefore no 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ is rainbow under $c$.

The color $c(0, 0)$ is unique; therefore, $c$ is a unitary coloring of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{aw}_u(G \times \mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 1 &\geq |c(G \times \mathbb{Z}_p)| \\ &= \mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3) + \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 3 \\ \text{(by induction hypothesis)} &= \mathrm{aw}(G, 3) + \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 3 \\ \text{(by Theorem 1)} &= \mathrm{aw}(G \times \mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) \geq \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$.

□

Now a coloring with no rainbow 3-APs is constructed to determine a lower bound.

**Proposition 3.** *For all positive integers $n$,*

$$\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) + \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2 \leq aw(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3).$$

*Proof.* It suffices to show that $\text{aw}(G,3) + \text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n,3) - 2 \leq aw(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$. For each $g \in G$, let $P_g = \{(g,h) : h \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. Let $c_G$ be a coloring of $G$ with $\text{aw}(G,3) - 1$ colors with no rainbow 3-AP and $c_n$ be a unitary coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n,3) - 1$ colors with no rainbow 3-AP. Without loss of generality, assume that 0 is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ that is uniquely colored by $c_n$.

Now define a coloring of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $aw(G,3) + \text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n,3) - 2$ colors as follows:

$$c(g,h) = \begin{cases} c_G(g) & \text{if } h \neq 0, \\ c_n(h) & \text{if } h = 0. \end{cases}$$

Under the coloring $c$, there can be no rainbow 3-AP in any $P_g$. Since $n$ is odd, every other 3-AP must contain an element from $P_a$, $P_{a+d}$, and $P_{a+2d}$ for some $a, d \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. However, such a 3-AP is not rainbow because $\{a, a+d, a+2d\}$ is not a rainbow 3-AP under $c_G$. □

The main tool used for determining the anti-van der Waerden number of abelian groups with odd order is applying Lemma 1 to create a well-defined auxiliary coloring of a specific subgroup.

Let $G$ be a group and $n$ be an odd positive integer. Partition $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ by letting $P_g = \{(g,x) | x \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$ for each $g \in G$. Without loss of generality, let $|c(P_g)| \leq |c(P_0)|$ for all $g \in G$.

Since $n$ is odd, 2 has a unique multiplicative inverse in $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Therefore, for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ there exists a $d \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $x = 2d$. So given an AP in $G$, say $\{x_1, y_1, z_1\}$, and $x_2, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, there exists a unique $y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $x_2 + z_2 = 2y_2$, which yields $\{(x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2),(z_1,z_2)\}$, a 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$.

**Lemma 1.** *If $c$ is a coloring of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ with no rainbow 3-AP, then $|c(P_g) \setminus c(P_0)| \leq 1$ for all $g \in G$.*

*Proof.* Assume there is a $g \in G$ such that $2 \leq |c(P_g) \backslash c(P_0)|$. Let $\alpha, \beta \in c(P_g) \backslash c(P_0)$ and $\gamma, \rho \in c(P_0) \backslash c(P_g)$. By maximality of $c(P_0)$, $\gamma$ and $\rho$ exists, and neither are equal to $\alpha$ or $\beta$.

If there exists a $z \in P_{2g}$ such that $c(z)$ is not a color in $c(P_0)$, then there is a $y \in P_g$ such that $c(y) \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $c(y) \neq c(z)$. Therefore, there is an $x \in P_0$ such that $\{x,y,z\}$ is a 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $x$ does not have the same color as $y$ or $z$. This is a contradiction since this arithmetic progression is rainbow.

If there exists a $z \in P_{2g}$ such that $c(z)$ is not a color in $c(P_g)$ and $g \neq |G|/2$, then there is an $x \in P_0$ such that $c(x) \in \{\gamma, \rho\}$ and $c(x) \neq c(z)$. Therefore, there is a $y \in P_0$ such that $\{x,y,z\}$ is a 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $y$ does not have the same color as $x$ or $z$. This is a contradiction since this arithmetic progression is rainbow.

Therefore, $P_{2g}$ must contain every color in $c(P_0)$ and $c(P_g)$, which is a contradiction to the maximality of $c(P_0)$. □

If $|c(P_g) \setminus c(P_0)| \leq 1$ for all $g \in G$, then the following auxiliary coloring of $G$ is well defined:

$$\overline{c}(g) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } c(P_g) \subset c(P_0), \\ c(P_g) \backslash c(P_0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The next lemma goes on to show that if $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ does not contain a rainbow 3-AP, then $\overline{c}$ can not create a rainbow 3-AP in $G$.

**Lemma 2.** *If $\overline{c}$ contains a rainbow 3-AP in $G$, then there exists a rainbow 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$.*

*Proof.* Let $\{a, a+d, a+2d\}$ be a rainbow arithmetic progression colored by $\overline{c}$ in $G$. Without loss of generality, there are two cases to consider: $\overline{c}(a+d) \neq \alpha$ and $\overline{c}(a+d) = \alpha$.

If $\overline{c}(a+d) = \beta$ and $\overline{c}(a+2d) = \gamma$, then there exists an $x \in P_a$, $y \in P_{a+d}$, and $z \in P_{a+2d}$ such that $\{x, y, z\}$ is a 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, $c(y) = \beta$, and $c(z) = \gamma$. However, $\overline{c}(a) \neq \beta, \gamma$, which implies $\beta, \gamma \notin P_a$, so $c(x) \neq \beta, \gamma$. This implies that $\{x, y, z\}$ is a rainbow arithmetic progression in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, which is a contradiction.

If $\overline{c}(a) = \beta$, $\overline{c}(a+d) = \alpha$, and $\overline{c}(a+2d) = \gamma$, then there exists an $x \in P_a$, $y \in P_{a+d}$, and $z \in P_{a+2d}$ such that $\{x, y, z\}$ is a 3-AP in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, $c(x) = \beta$ and $c(z) = \gamma$. However, $c(y) \neq \beta, \gamma$ because $\overline{c}(a+d) = \alpha$. This implies that $\{x, y, z\}$ is a rainbow arithmetic progression in $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, which is a contradiction. □

**Theorem 3.** *If $G$ is a finite abelian group and $n$ is an odd positive integer, then*

$$\mathrm{aw}(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = \mathrm{aw}(G, 3) + \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2.$$

*Proof.* The lower bound for $\mathrm{aw}(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$ is by Proposition 3. For the upper bound, it suffices to show $\mathrm{aw}(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3) \leq \mathrm{aw}(G, 3) + \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2$. Let $c$ be a coloring of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ with $\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) + \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2$ colors and no rainbow 3-APs. The statement will be proved by contradiction, showing that no such coloring exists.

For each $g \in G$, let $P_g = \{(g, h) : h \in \mathbb{Z}_n\}$. Without loss of generality, let $|c(P_g)| \leq |c(P_0)|$ for all $g \in G$. Since there are no rainbow 3-APs and $P_0$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_n$, $|c(P_0)| \leq aw(\mathbb{Z}_n) - 1$. Also, by Lemma 1, $|c(P_g) \backslash c(P_0)| \leq 1$, for all $g \in G$. Define a coloring of $G$ as follows:

$$\overline{c}(g) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } c(P_g) \subset c(P_0), \\ c(P_g) \backslash c(P_0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The total number of colors used by $c$ is $|c(P_0)| + |\overline{c}(G)| - 1 \leq (\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 1) + (\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) - 1) - 1$. Therefore $|c(P_0)| \leq \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$ or $|\overline{c}(G)| \leq \mathrm{aw}(G, 3)$

□

This leads to the following corollary which implies that for positive odd integers $m$ and $n$, $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{mn}, 3)$.

**Corollary 1.** *Let $n$ be the largest odd divisor of the order of $G$. There exists a finite abelian group $G'$ such that the order of $G'$ is a power of 2 and*

$$\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) = \mathrm{aw}(G', 3) + \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2.$$

*Proof.* For each odd prime $p$ and positive integer $e$, $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^e}, 3) = e(\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 2) + 2$, by Theorem 1. Theorem 3 implies $\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{e_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e_2}} \cdots \mathbb{Z}_{p^{e_\ell}}, 3) = 2 + (\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 2) \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} e_i$.

So the anti-van der Waerden number is the same for any two finite abelian groups having the same odd order.

Now let $G = G' \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $n = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} p_i^{e_i}$, where $p_i$ is an odd prime for all $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{aw}(G,3) &= \mathrm{aw}(G' \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3) \\ &= \mathrm{aw}(G',3) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} e_i(\mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i},3) - 2) \\ &= \mathrm{aw}(G',3) + \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n,3) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 2.1 Groups with power of 2 order

In order to completely use Corollary 1 the anti-van der Waerden number of groups with order that is a power of 2 must be determined.

**Proposition 4.** *For any finite abelian group $G$,*

$$\mathrm{aw}(G \times \mathbb{Z}_2, 3) \leq 2\,\mathrm{aw}(G,3) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let $A = \{(g,0) : g \in G\}$ and $B = \{(g,1) : g \in G\}$. In any exact $(2aw(G,3)-1)$-coloring of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, either $A$ or $B$ will have at least $\mathrm{aw}(G,3)$ colors. Therefore, a rainbow 3-AP will exist since $A$ and $B$ are both isomorphic to $G$. □

An inductive argument, using Proposition 4 as the base case, gives the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *For all positive integers $s$,*

$$\mathrm{aw}([\mathbb{Z}_2]^s, 3) \leq 2^s + 1.$$

**Theorem 4.** *For $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $m_i$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$aw(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}, 3) = 2^s + 1.$$

*Proof.* Let $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \ldots \leq m_s$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$. Define $c(x) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s) \mod 2$. The function $c$ is an exact $2^s$-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$. Since $c(x) = c(x + 2d)$, for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$, this coloring does not contain any rainbow arithmetic progressions. Therefore, $2^s + 1 \leq aw(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}, 3)$.

The proof of the upper bound is inductive on $(s, m_s)$. The base case of $(1, m)$ is true for all positive integers $m$ by Theorem 2 and the base case of $(s, 1)$ is true for all positive integers $s$ by Corollary 2. Assume the statement is true for parameters $(s', m)$ for all $1 \leq s' < s$ and $1 \leq m < m_s$.

It will be shown that the statement is true for parameters $(s, m_s)$ by assuming there exists a coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ with exactly $2^s + 1$ colors and no rainbow 3-AP, then arriving at a contradiction.

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$, let $P_i = \{(x,i) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_{(s-1)}}}\}$. So $P_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_{(s-1)}}}$ for all $i$. Let $A$ be the set of $P_i$ with $i$ even, and $B$ be the set of $P_i$ with $i$ odd. So $A$ and $B$ are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{(m_s-1)}}$. By the induction hypothesis, $A$ and $B$ both have at most $2^s$ colors. So there exists $\alpha \in c(A) \backslash c(B)$ and $\beta \in c(B) \backslash c(A)$.

Assume without loss of generality, $x_\alpha := c^{-1}(\alpha) \in P_0$ and $x_\beta := c^{-1}(\beta) \in P_j$, where $j$ is odd. Then $\{x_\alpha, x_\beta, 2x_\beta - x_\alpha\}$ is a 3-AP in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$. So $2x_\beta - x_\alpha \in P_{2j}$. Since there are no rainbow 3-APs $c(2x_\beta - x_\alpha)$ must be $\alpha$.

Similarly, $\{(i-1)x_\beta - (i-2)x_\alpha, ix_\beta - (i-1)x_\alpha, (i+1)x_\beta - ix_\alpha\}$ is a 3-AP for all $i$ and $c((i-1)x_\beta - (i-2)x_\alpha)$ must be equal to $c((i+1)x_\beta - ix_\alpha)$ if it is not rainbow. This implies that $\alpha \in c(P_i)$ for all even $i$, and $\beta \in c(P_i)$, for all odd $i$.

By the induction hypothesis, $P_i$ has at most $2^{s-1}$ colors for all $i$. Therefore, $|c(P_0) \cup c(P_j)| \leq 2^s$. So there exists a color $\gamma$ that is not in $c(P_0)$ or $c(P_j)$. Now define an exact 3-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ as follows:

$$\overline{c}(i) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } \alpha \in c(P_i) \text{ and } \gamma \notin c(P_i), \\ \beta & \text{if } \beta \in c(P_i) \text{ and } \gamma \notin c(P_i), \\ \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in c(P_i). \end{cases}$$

The coloring $\overline{c}$ is an exact 3-coloring and creates a rainbow 3-AP in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ by Theorem 2. Let $\{a, a+d, a+2d\}$ be such a rainbow arithmetic progression. Without loss of generality, there are two cases to consider: $\overline{c}(a+d) \neq \gamma$ and $\overline{c}(a+d) = \gamma$.

If $\overline{c}(a) = \alpha$, $\overline{c}(a+d) = \gamma$, and $\overline{c}(a+2d) = \beta$, then $a$ must be even and $a+2d$ must be odd, which is a contradiction.

If $\overline{c}(a) = \alpha$, $\overline{c}(a+d) = \beta$, and $\overline{c}(a+2d) = \gamma$, then there exists an $x \in P_a$, $y \in P_{a+d}$, and $z \in P_{a+2d}$ such that $\{x,y,z\}$ is a 3-AP in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$, $c(y) = \beta$ and $c(z) = \gamma$. However, $c(x) \neq \beta$ or $\gamma$ because $\overline{c}(a) = \alpha$. This implies that $\{x,y,z\}$ is a rainbow arithmetic progression in $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore, $aw(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_2}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}, 3) \leq 2^s + 1$. □

# 3 Unitary anti-van der Waerden Numbers

**Proposition 5.** *For all positive integers $p$ and $q$,*

$$\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) + \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_q, 3) - 2 \leq \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, 3).$$

*Proof.* This is the same as the proof of Proposition 3 with $\overline{c}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ changed to a unitary coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_p, 3) - 1$ colors and no rainbow 3-AP. □

**Theorem 5.** *For any positive odd integers $n$,*

$$aw_u(G \times \mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = aw_u(G, 3) + aw_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2.$$

*Proof.* The lower bound is a direct result of Proposition 5. So it suffices to show the upper bound. Assume $c$ is a coloring of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$ that is unitary with exactly $aw_u(G,3) + aw_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2$ colors and no rainbow 3-AP. For all $h \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, let $P_h = \{(g,h) \mid g \in G\}$. Without loss of generality, let $|c(P_h)| \leq |c(P_0)|$ for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

By Lemma 1, $|c(P_h)\backslash c(P_0)| \leq 1$, for all $h \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Define a coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ as follows:

$$\overline{c}(g) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } c(P_h) \subset c(P_0), \\ c(P_h)\backslash c(P_0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\rho$ be a color used exactly once by $c$ to color $G \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. Now consider the two cases in which $\rho \in P_0$ and $\rho \notin P_0$.

*Case 1:* If $\rho \in c(P_0)$, then $|c(P_0)| \leq \text{aw}_u(G) - 1$. Therefore $\text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = (\text{aw}_u(G,3)+\text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n,3)-2)-(\text{aw}_u(G)-1)+1 \leq |\overline{c}(\mathbb{Z}_n)|$. Since $\text{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) = \text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3)$, Lemma 2 implies that $c$ creates a rainbow 3-AP.

*Case 2:* If $\rho \in c(P_d)$, where $0 \neq d$, then $\overline{c}$ must be a unitary coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ and not have any 3-APs by Lemma 2. So $|\overline{c}(\mathbb{Z}_n)| \leq \text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 1$, which implies $\text{aw}_u(G, 3) = (\text{aw}_u(G, 3) + \text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2) - (\text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n) - 2) \leq |c(P_0)|$.

If there exists $\gamma \in c(P_0) \setminus c(P_{-d})$, then there is an $x \in G$ such that $c(x, 0) = \gamma$. Now choose $(y, d)$ such that $c(y, d) = \rho$. Then $\{(2x-y, -d), (x, 0), (y, d)\}$ is a rainbow 3-AP. Therefore, $|c(P_0)| = |c(P_{-d})|$. If $|c(P_0)| > |c(P_d)|$, then there exist $\beta, \gamma \in c(P_0) \setminus c(P_d)$ because $\rho \notin c(P_0)$. Now a rainbow 3-AP can be attained by choosing elements of $P_0$ and $P_{-d}$ that are assigned $\beta$ and $\gamma$, respectively, and the corresponding element of $P_d$. Hence, $\text{aw}_u(G, 3) \leq |c(P_0)| = |c(P_d)|$. However, since there is only one element in $P_d$ with the color $\rho$, this implies that $P_d$ contains a rainbow 3-AP, which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 5 yields the following corollary that is analogous to corollary 1.

**Corollary 3.** *Let $n$ be the largest odd divisor of the order of $G$. There exists a finite abelian group $G'$ such that the order of $G'$ is a power of 2 and*

$$\text{aw}_u(G, 3) = \text{aw}_u(G', 3) + \text{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 2.$$

## 3.1 Groups with power of 2 order

**Proposition 6.** *For all positive integers $s$,*

$$\text{aw}_u([\mathbb{Z}_2]^s, 3) = s + 2.$$

*Proof.* This proof is by induction on $s$. The base case of $s = 1$ is trivial since $2 < \text{aw}_u([\mathbb{Z}_2]^s, 3) \leq \text{aw}([\mathbb{Z}_2]^s, 3) = 3$. Assume $1 < s$.

Let $c'$ be a coloring of $[\mathbb{Z}_2]^{s-1}$ with s+1 colors, no rainbow 3-AP and $a$ be an element of $[\mathbb{Z}_2]^{s-1}$ that does not share a color with any other element. For all $g \in [\mathbb{Z}_2]^{s-1}$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, except $(a, 0)$, let $c(g, h) = c'(g)$ and assign $c(a, 0)$ a new color. Then $c$ is a unitary $(s + 1)$-coloring of $[\mathbb{Z}_2]^s$ with no rainbow 3-AP. So, $s + 2 \leq \text{aw}_u([\mathbb{Z}_2]^s, 3)$.

Now assume $[\mathbb{Z}_2]^s$ is colored with a unitary coloring that has exactly $s + 2$ colors and no rainbow 3-AP. Let $A = \{(g, 0) : g \in [\mathbb{Z}_2]^{s-1}\}$ and $B = \{(g, 1) : g \in [\mathbb{Z}_2]^{s-1}\}$. Without loss of generality, let $(a, 0)$ be an element of $[\mathbb{Z}_2]^s$ that does not share a color with any other element. Therefore, by induction, $|c(A)| \leq s$. So there exists 2 colors, $\alpha, \beta \in c(B) \setminus c(A)$. Then there exists an element $(b, 1)$ such that $c(b, 1) \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $2a \neq 2b$. Therefore, the 3-AP $\{(a, 0), (b, 1), (2b - a, 0)\}$ must be rainbow, which is a contradiction. So, $\text{aw}_u([\mathbb{Z}_2]^s, 3) \leq s + 2$. □

**Theorem 6.** *For $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $m_i$ be a positive integer. Then*

$$\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}, 3) = s + 2.$$

*Proof.* This proof is inductive on $\sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i$. The base case of $\sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i = s$ is true by Proposition 6. So assume $s < \sum_{i=1}^{s} m_i$ and $2 \leq m_s$.

Let $c'$ be a coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ with s+1 colors, no rainbow 3-AP and $a$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_{(s-1)}}}$ that does not share a color with any other element. For all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_{(s-1)}}}$ and $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$, except $(a, 0)$, let $c(g, h) = c'(g)$ and assign $c(a, 0)$ a new color. Then $c$ is a unitary $(s + 1)$-coloring of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ with no rainbow 3-AP. So, $s + 2 \leq \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}, 3)$.

Now assume $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ is colored with a unitary coloring that has exactly $s + 2$ colors and no rainbow 3-AP. Let $A = \{(g, h) : g \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_{s-1}}}, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}},$ and $h$ is even$\}$ and $B = \{(g, h) : g \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_{s-1}}}, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}},$ and $h$ is odd$\}$. Without loss of generality, let $(a, 0)$ be an element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}$ that does not share a color with any other element. Therefore, by induction, $|c(A)| \leq s$. So there exists 2 colors, $\alpha, \beta \in c(B) \setminus c(A)$. Then there exists an element $(b, 2j + 1)$ such that $c(b, 2j+1) \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $2a \neq 2b$. Therefore, the 3-AP $\{(a, 0), (b, 2j+1), (2b-a, 4j+2)\}$ must be rainbow, which is a contradiction. So, $\mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{m_s}}, 3) \leq s + 2$. □

**Corollary 4.** *Let $G$ be a finite abelian group. Then $\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) = \mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3)$ if and only if the order of $G$ is odd or $G$ is cyclic.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1 and Theorem 6,

$$\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) = 2^s + \mathrm{aw}(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3) - 1,$$

for some nonnegative integer $s$ and odd integer $n$. By Corollary 3 and Theorem 4,

$$\mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3) = s + \mathrm{aw}_u(\mathbb{Z}_n, 3),$$

for the same $s$ and $n$. Therefore, $\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) = \mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3)$ if and only if $2^s - 1 = s$; hence, $\mathrm{aw}(G, 3) = \mathrm{aw}_u(G, 3)$ if and only if $s$ is 0 or 1. □

# References


[1] M. Axenovich, D. Fon-Der-Flaas, On rainbow arithmetic progressions. *European Journal of Combinatorics* **11** (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 1, 7pp.

[2] M. Axenovich and R.R. Martin, Sub-Ramsey numbers for arithmetic progressions. *Graphs Comb.* **22** (2006), no. 1, 297–309.

[3] S. Butler, C. Erickson, L. Hogben, K. Hogenson, L. Kramer, R. Kramer, J. Lin, R. Martin, D. Stolee, N. Warnberg, and M. Young, Rainbow arithmetic progressions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.7232 (2014).

[4] V. Jungić, J. Licht (Fox), M. Mahdian, J. Nešetril, and R. Radoičić, Ranibow arithmetic progressions and anti-Ramsey results. *Comb. Probab. Comput.* **12** (2003), no. 5-6, 599–620.

[5] K. Uherka, An introduction to Ramsey theory and anti-Ramsey theory on the integers. Master's Creative Component (2013), Iowa State University.